The Indianapolis MPO receives and administers an annual allocation of federal funds totaling roughly $47 million from four funding programs (CMAQ, HSIP, TAP and STBG). Because the needs of the region exceed the annual allocation received, the MPO has developed a process to assist in the selection of projects that will utilize these funds. STBG, CMAQ, HSIP and TAP all have separate selection criteria; however, they all reflect the policies herein.

The process for selecting projects has been used for many years and was revised several times, the last time for STP (now STBG) in 2009, CMAQ in 2012, HSIP in 2013 and TAP in 2015. Because of changing federal and state policies and procedures, and the length of time since a comprehensive review of the selection process had been conducted, the MPO staff formed a sub-committee in September of 2017 to reexamine the existing criteria and recommend appropriate changes. The sub-committee included the following members:

Anna Gremling, IMPO
Steve Cunningham, IMPO
Kristyn Sanchez, IMPO
James Rinehart, IMPO
Ryan Cannon, Town of Avon
Jeff Hill, City of Fishers
Brad Davis, Hamilton County
Bill Hall, United Consulting
John Marron, IndyGo
Mark Richards, City of Franklin
Melody Park, City of Indianapolis

Over the course of several months and numerous meetings, the sub-committee first reevaluated the general policy guidelines under which the selection process operates and then developed the revised selection criteria. The revised criteria were presented to the full IRTC for review and comment in April 2018 and adopted by both the Technical and Policy committees in May of 2018.

The Selection Criteria adhere to the Policy Guidelines as revised and shown below:

- **POLICY GUIDELINE 1** – The proposed program should emphasize preservation or enhancement of the existing transportation system (Goal 7 of the Long-Range Transportation Plan). Emphasis should be placed on preservation rather than expansion and the distribution of the MPO’s Annual Allocation will reflect as much as possible, the resource allocation goals of the LRTP.

- **POLICY GUIDELINE 2** – The Indianapolis Regional Transportation Improvement Program (IRTIP) should follow the priority established in the LRTP in implementing projects of regional significance. Although program equity is a key component of the IRTIP, no sub-allocation of federal funds will be affected to replace the project
staging and priorities established in the LRTP to advance the overall interrelated regional interests.

- **POLICY GUIDELINE 3** – Proposed projects within the region that have a proven potential to enhance economic development, stimulate the economy, and assist in job creation should be given additional consideration for inclusion in the program. Projects that have the potential to positively impact the quality of life for the area’s residents should be considered in the development of the program. Projects should:
  
  ➢ Be consistent and not in conflict with local and/or county comprehensive plans (i.e. the project implements a solution or addresses a problem identified in the plan)
  ➢ Provide improvements to air quality (improvement is consistent with the CMAQ eligibility requirements)
  ➢ Provide aesthetic improvements where appropriate (provision of landscaping or another scenic beautification)
  ➢ Provide access to major generators (including multi-modal and intra-modal facilities, cultural and recreational sites)

- **POLICY GUIDELINE 5** – Due to continued growth of the urban area and limited funding availability, STBG funds are restricted to the construction and construction engineering phases only.

The Indianapolis MPO issues an annual call for projects (CFP) each fall requesting applications for project funding in all four funding categories. Generally, and specifically for STBG, the MPO attempts to fund projects in such a way as to reflect the resource allocation goals of the LRTP (i.e. the total annual allocation available in any given year, roadway expansion and new roadway construction projects combined, should constitute roughly 26%). Because many project types are eligible for multiple funding programs, the MPO considers the entire allocation available to assess how the resource allocation goals are reflected in the project selection.

The revised selection criteria will be used by the MPO in project selection and prioritization as funds become available for programming. This selection criteria provides a sound basis for evaluating the relative importance of projects and is intended to be used as a guide in the selection and prioritization of eligible projects. The selection criteria for each funding category are include as follows:
STBG SELECTION CRITERIA

Adopted May 2018
The Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) has the most flexibility among all Federal-aid highway programs.

Examples of eligible projects through the Indianapolis MPO include:

- Existing Roadway Widening
- New Roadway Construction
- Roadway Reconstruction, Rehabilitation, and Resurfacing
- Bridge Replacement
- Bridge Rehabilitation
- Intersection Improvements
- Transit Enhancement Capital Projects
A. Existing Roadway Widening (100 points possible)

A major reconstruction to provide added travel lanes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERION</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 FEDERAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION</td>
<td>Other Principal Arterial or higher</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major Collector</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 EXISTING OPERATIONS</td>
<td>LOS = F</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Level of Service - LOS) *</td>
<td>LOS = E</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOS = D</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOS = C or higher</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 EXISTING AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUME *</td>
<td>ADT x .0006</td>
<td>Enter score:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Average of Corridor Segments)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Max: 25 pts.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 LONG RANGE PLAN PRIORITY TIME PERIOD</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; time period</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt; time period</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt; time period</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Illustrative project</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Application is the next phase of an IMPO committed/funded added capacity project.</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 PROJECT IS LOCATED ON THE FREIGHT NETWORK as designated by the IMPO’s Plan.</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL POINTS for EXISTING ROADWAY WIDENING PROJECT

*Documentation must be uploaded to MITIP to substantiate the scores.

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:

**Move:** Improves regional connectivity of the roadway system; Creates a connected network of bike/ped routes by expanding existing facilities and closing gaps; Develops mobility strategies that address system performance and congested transportation segments.

**Prosper:** Increases opportunities for residents to reduce transportation costs; Improves transit access to higher education/training centers; Improves job access; Improves reliability, capacity, and competitiveness for regional freight.

**Make Safe:** Preserves or enhances the pavement conditions of the roadway network.

**Sustain:** Protects the natural environment through careful consideration of transportation impacts on projects.
### B. New Roadway Construction (100 points possible)

Roadways that are built on new alignment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERION</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROJECTED AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUME* (Average of Segments for Corridors in 2045)</td>
<td>ADT x .0007</td>
<td>Enter score: (Max: 30 pts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONG RANGE PLAN PRIORITY TIME PERIOD</td>
<td>1st time period</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd time period</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd time period</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Illustrative project</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED FEDERAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION*</td>
<td>Other Principal Arterial (OPA) or higher</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Arterial (MA)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major Collector (MC)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORRIDOR CONNECTIVITY</td>
<td>Fills gap between two OPAs</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fills gap between an OPA and a MA or MC</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fills gap between two MAs</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No regional corridor connectivity</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT IS LOCATED ON THE FREIGHT NETWORK as designated by the IMPO’s Plan.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL POINTS for NEW ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT**

* Documentation must be uploaded to MiTIP to substantiate the scores.

### 2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:

**Move:** Improves regional connectivity of the roadway system; Creates a connected network of bike/ped routes by expanding existing facilities and closing gaps; Develops mobility strategies that address system performance and congested transportation segments.

**Prosper:** Increases opportunities for residents to reduce transportation costs; Improves transit access to higher education/training centers; Improves job access; Improves reliability, capacity, and competitiveness for regional freight.

**Make Safe:** Preserves or enhances the pavement conditions of the roadway network.
C. Roadway Reconstruction/Rehabilitation/Resurfacing  
(100 points possible)

Roadway enhancements that improve and extend the existing pavement structure’s service life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERION</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>FEDERAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION</td>
<td>Other Principal Arterial or higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>EXISTING PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX / PASER *</td>
<td>PCI = 1 to 40 or PASER = 1 to 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCI = 41 to 55 or PASER = 4 to 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCI = 56 to 70 or PASER = 6 to 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PROJECT IS LOCATED ON A FREIGHT NETWORK as designated by the IMPO’s Plan.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES (ADT)* (Average of Segments for Corridors)</td>
<td>ADT x .0008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL POINTS for ROAD RECONSTRUCTION/REHABILITATION/RESURFACING PROJECT

* Documentation must be uploaded to MiTIP to substantiate the scores.

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:

**Move:** Improves regional connectivity of the roadway system; Creates a connected network of bike/ped routes by expanding existing facilities and closing gaps.

**Prosper:** Increases opportunities for residents to reduce transportation costs; Improves transit access to higher education/training centers; Improves job access; Improves reliability, capacity, and competitiveness for regional freight.

**Make Safe:** Preserves or enhances the pavement conditions of the roadway network.

**Sustain:** Protects the natural environment through careful consideration of transportation impacts on projects.
D. Bridge Replacement (100 points possible)

Total replacement of a structurally deficient or functionally obsolete bridge with a new facility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERION</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 SUFFICIENCY RATING *</td>
<td>29.99 or less</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.00 to 49.99</td>
<td>=40 - (rating-30) Enter score:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.00 or higher</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT / FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE *</td>
<td>Structurally Deficient</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Functionally Obsolete</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neither S.D. nor F.O.</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 FEDERAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION</td>
<td>Other Principal Arterial or higher</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major Collector</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Collector</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not on system</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES (ADT)* (Average of Segments for Corridors)</td>
<td>ADT x .0005</td>
<td>Enter score: (Max: 20 pts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 PROJECT IS LOCATED ON THE FREIGHT NETWORK as designated by the IMPO’s Plan.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL POINTS for BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT

Note: The use of STBG funds can be used on both on-system and off-system bridges within the urbanized area only since Bridge (BR) funds are not available within the urbanized area.

* Documentation must be uploaded to MiTIP to substantiate the scores.

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:

**Move**: Improves regional connectivity of the roadway system; Creates a connected network of bike/ped routes by expanding existing facilities and closing gaps.

**Prosper**: Improves reliability, capacity, and competitiveness for regional freight.

**Make Safe**: Preserves or enhances the condition of on- and off-system bridges.

**Sustain**: Protects the natural environment through careful consideration of transportation impacts on projects.
E. Bridge Rehabilitation (100 points possible)

Actions or strategies to prevent, delay, or reduce bridge deterioration; restore the function of existing bridges, and extend bridge life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERION</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SUFFICIENCY RATING *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49.99 or less</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.00 to 79.99</td>
<td>=40 - (rating-50) Enter score:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80.00 or higher</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT / FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structurally Deficient</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Functionally Obsolete</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neither S.D. nor F.O.</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FEDERAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Principal Arterial or higher</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major Collector</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Collector</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not on system</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES (ADT)*</td>
<td>ADT x .0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Average of Segments for Corridors)</td>
<td>Enter Score:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Max: 20 pts.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PROJECT IS LOCATED ON THE FREIGHT NETWORK as designated by the IMPO’s Plan.</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL POINTS for BRIDGE REHABILITATION PROJECT

Note: The use of STBG funds can be used on both on-system and off-system bridges within the urbanized area only since Bridge (BR) funds are not available within the urbanized area.

* Documentation must be uploaded to MITIP to substantiate the scores.

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:
Move: Improves regional connectivity of the roadway system; Creates a connected network of bike/ped routes by expanding existing facilities and closing gaps.
Prosper: Improves reliability, capacity, and competitiveness for regional freight.
Make Safe: Preserves or enhances the condition of on- and off-system bridges.
Sustain: Protects the natural environment through careful consideration of transportation impacts on projects.
F. Intersection Improvement (100 points possible)

Various strategies including geometric design changes and/or traffic control device applications to improve safety concerns, and relieve congestion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERION</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES (ADT)* (sum of entering volumes on all approach legs)</td>
<td>ADT x .0008</td>
<td>Enter score: (Max: 35 pts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 ACCIDENT RATES (Last 3 years; number of accidents / million vehicles; avg. of intersection groups)</td>
<td>Rate &gt; 2.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate &gt;= 2.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate &gt;= 1.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate &lt; 1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 EXISTING LOS* (overall for groups)</td>
<td>LOS = F</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOS = E</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOS = D</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 FEDERAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION (Highest classified)</td>
<td>Other Principal Arterial or higher</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major Collector</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor Collector</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Included in the MPO’s 2016 Top 50 Intersection Study</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Project is located on a FREIGHT NETWORK as designated by the IMPO’s Plan.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL POINTS for INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

* Documentation must be uploaded to MiTIP to substantiate the scores.

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:

**Move:** Improves regional connectivity of the roadway system; Creates a connected network of bike/ped routes by expanding existing facilities and closing gaps; Develops mobility strategies that address system performance and congested transportation segments.

**Prosper:** Improves reliability, capacity, and competitiveness for regional freight.

**Make Safe:** Supports projects and policies that reduce the number and rate of serious injuries and fatalities for all modes.

**Sustain:** Protects the natural environment through careful consideration of transportation impacts on projects.
G. Transit Enhancement Capital Projects (100 points possible)

Projects or project elements that are designed to enhance mass transportation service or use and are physically or functionally related to transit facilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERION</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 EXPAND / MAINTAIN TRANSIT SERVICE ACCESSIBILITY (Select one)</td>
<td>Capital asset replacement (e.g. bus, shelter) - see 3a</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expands existing service - see 3b</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improves existing service – see 3c</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 IMPLEMENTS ELEMENTS FROM LOCAL OR REGIONAL TRANSIT PLAN (Select one)</td>
<td>Subarea, district, neighborhood, or streetscape plan</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPA adopted plan (comp plan, capital plan, etc)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human Coordinated Services Plan</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County Transit Plan</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long Range Transportation Plan</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a CAPITAL ASSET REPLACEMENT CRITERIA (Check all that apply)</td>
<td>Age and condition of asset to be replaced</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low/No Emission</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b EXPANDS EXISTING SERVICE CRITERIA (Check all that apply)</td>
<td>Adds Shelters/Benches</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved condition of transportation network (bike/ped/roadway)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New multi-modal amenities/connections (e.g., bike rack, adjacent to trail)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c IMPROVES EXISTING SERVICE CRITERIA (Check all that apply)</td>
<td>Improves/upgrades existing bus stop</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved condition of transportation network (sidewalk/bikeway/shared path/roadway)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speed and reliability improvements (transit signal priority, dedicated facilities, reduced dwell times, etc.)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL POINTS for TRANSIT ENHANCEMENT PROJECT**

* Documentation must be uploaded to MiTIP to substantiate the scores.

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:

**Move:** Supports transit initiatives to improve service quality, access to, and reliability of transit.

**Prosper:** Increases opportunities for residents to reduce transportation costs; Assures investments are applied equitably without disproportionally affecting disadvantaged populations; Improves transit access to higher education/training centers by transit; Improves job access.

**Make Safe:** Preserves or enhances the quality and condition of transit resources.

**Sustain:** Protects the natural environment through careful consideration of transportation impacts on projects; Supports project that improve air quality; Improves access to grocery stores from bicycle, pedestrian, and transit options.
CMAQ SELECTION CRITERIA

Adopted December 2012
Technical Revisions May 2018
The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Improvement Program identifies transportation projects and programs that will relieve congestion, improve air quality, and reduce transportation-related emissions. Because federal law requires the timely implementation of transportation control measures in air quality plans, the highest priority for funding under the CMAQ Program is implementation of such measures. Major emphasis is placed upon projects that support alternative modes of transportation, provide congestion relief measures, provide non-polluting transit vehicles and equipment, and provide new technologies or improvements geared toward providing a more efficient and safer transportation system.

Examples of eligible projects/programs include:

- pedestrian/bicycle facilities
- traffic management/monitoring/congestion relief strategies
- transit (new system/service expansion or operations)
- alternative fuel projects (including vehicle refueling infrastructure, clean fuel fleet programs and conversions)
- vehicle inspection and maintenance (I/M) programs
- intermodal freight
- telework/telecommuting programs
- travel demand management
- public education and outreach activities
- rideshare programs
- other transportation projects with air quality benefits

Note that construction projects that add new roadway capacity for single-occupancy vehicles are not eligible to use CMAQ funds.

Air quality analyses is conducted by MPO staff by reviewing emission reductions for the projects screened and performing the emissions calculations. The results of this analysis will be scored for each project application on the project selection criteria worksheet using the criteria of the total grams removed and the cost per ton of emissions removed as a result of the implementation of the project or program. Projects are scored and ranked by the MPO staff.
PROJECT SCORING PROCESS

I. Total Emissions Reduction (40 Point Maximum)
   Factor: Projected Annual Reduction in Emissions (grams)

   _______ VOC’s
   _______ NoX
   _______ PM 2.5
   _______ Total Annual Reduction (grams)

   Scoring: Rank projects in descending order of total grams removed. Award points based upon this ranking (i.e., project with highest total emissions reduction receives the maximum of 40 points; the next highest receives 39 points, etc.).

II. Project Cost Effectiveness (15 Point Maximum)
    Factor: Projected Cost per Ton per Year

    _______ Total Grams per Year (from above)
    _______ Tons per Year (Divide Grams by 746,484)
    _______ Total Project Cost (from application)
    _______ Project’s Useful Life (Years)
    _______ Cost per Year of Useful Life (Divide Total Cost by Useful Life)
    _______ Cost per Ton per Year of Useful Life (Divide Cost per Year of Useful Life by Tons per year)

    Scoring: Rank projects in ascending order of cost per Ton of emissions removed. Award points based upon this ranking (i.e., project with lowest cost per ton receives the maximum of 15 points; the next highest receives 14 points, etc.).

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed

Move: Create a connected network of bikeways and pedestrian routes by expanding existing facilities; Support transit initiatives to improve service quality, access to, and reliability of transit; Develop mobility strategies that address system performance and congested transportation segments.

Prosper: Improve job access.

Make Safe: Preserve or enhance the quality and condition of transit resources.

Sustain: Protect the natural environment through careful consideration of transportation impacts on projects; Support projects that improve air quality; Improve access to grocery stores from bicycle, pedestrian, and transit options.
HSIP SELECTION CRITERIA

Adopted June 2013
Technical Revisions May 2018
The overall purpose of this program is to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads through the implementation of infrastructure-related highway safety improvements. All HSIP applications must address at least one of the emphasis areas described in the Indiana Strategic Highway Safety Plan as well as meet the minimum standards of INDOT’s Highway Safety Improvement Program Local Project Selection Guide.

The application form for “Low Cost Systematic” Project Types (45 points) as identified in the HSIP Local Project Selection Guidance is also available on the IMPO website at www.indympo.org. Applications for all other project types including all single location “Hot Spot” safety improvements must be based on a Road Safety Audit Report plus a Cost/Benefit analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crash Cost Index (ICC)</td>
<td>&gt;2.51</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.01-2.50</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.51-2.00</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.01-1.50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.50-1.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crash Frequency Index (ICF)</td>
<td>&gt;2.51</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.01-2.50</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.51-2.00</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.01-1.50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.50-1.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected Project Impact on Safety (CRF)</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-50%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-30%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-20%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Cost (B/C) – NOTE: Projects with a B/C less than 1 do not meet Program Minimum Requirements</td>
<td>&gt;10.01</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.01-10.00</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.01-5.00</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.01-2.00</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary Road Functional Class

- Other Principal Arterial.................................................................5
- Minor Arterial ...............................................................................4
- Major Collector .............................................................................3
- Minor Collector .............................................................................2
- Local............................................................................................1

Appendix: 2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed

**Move:** Improve regional connectivity of the roadway system.

**Prosper:** N/A

**Make Safe:** Support projects and policies that reduce the number and rate of serious injuries and fatalities for all modes.

**Sustain:** N/A
TAP SELECTION CRITERIA

Adopted May 23, 2018
The Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) encompasses a variety of smaller-scale transportation projects such as pedestrian and bicycle facilities, recreational trails, safe routes to school projects, community improvements such as historic preservation and vegetation management, and environmental mitigation related to storm-water and habitat connectivity.

All smaller-scale transportation projects submitted through the Indianapolis MPO’s call for projects will be scored with the TAP selection criteria noted on the following pages. Projects will be ranked by score, after a thorough review by MPO staff, and awarded funds (as available) based on the bicycle and pedestrian enhancement sub-allocation in STBG and TAP.

All projects recommended to receive TAP funding will be submitted to INDOT and FHWA for an eligibility review.
If TAP is selected as a funding category, show the following dropdown question:

Is this project application seeking TAP, SRTS Infrastructure, or SRTS Non-Infrastructure funding?
☐ TAP
☐ SRTS Infrastructure
☐ SRTS Non-Infrastructure

If they selected TAP, add the questions below:

**Project Maintenance**
A dedicated long-term maintenance plan MOU or dedicated funding source outside of the LPA's annual budget is uploaded with the project application .................................................................☐ 10 points
The project will be maintained through the LPA's annual budget.................................................................☐ 0 points

**Connection to Existing Plans**
The project is included in an existing plan that was publically vetted such as comprehensive, land use, transportation, historic, cultural, tourism, economic development, recreation, parks, etc. ...............☐ 10 points
The project is included in a draft plan that is being publically vetted and will be approved within the next year .................................................................................................................................................................☐ 5 points
The project is included in a private plan (i.e. university, hospital, etc.) with limited public vetting .....☐ 2 points
The project is not in a plan, but has had public input within the past year .........................................................☐ 2 points
The project is not in a plan and it has not had public input within the past year.................................................................☐ 0 points

**Benefit of Project**
The project will link to an existing regional network that connects to another jurisdiction. .....................☐ 10 points
The project meets community needs with the potential to expand the project limit in a new phase...☐ 5 points
The project will reach a small/single group who will use or be served by the project.................................☐ 0 points

What project type is your application?
☐ Bicycle, Pedestrian, Trail, and Multi-Use Facilities
☐ Preservation of Abandoned Railroad Corridor
☐ Historic Preservation and Rehabilitation of Historic Transportation Facilities
☐ Construction of Turnouts, Overlooks and Viewing Areas
☐ Control and Removal of Outdoor Advertising
☐ Environmental Mitigation to Address Water Pollution Due to Highway Runoff or Reduce Vehicle-Caused Wildlife Mortality While Maintaining Habitat Connectivity
Dependent on the project type selected in the question above, the following set of questions would appear only for the project type selected.

Bicycle, Pedestrian, Trail, and Multi-Use Facilities Project Type Application & Scoring Sheet

Project Application Length
- > 2 miles ................................................................. ☐ 15 points
- 1.5 – 1.99 miles .......................................................... ☐ 12 points
- 1.0 – 1.49 miles ........................................................... ☐ 9 points
- 0.5 – 0.99 miles .......................................................... ☐ 6 point
- < .49 miles ................................................................. ☐ 3 points

Project Application Width
- 12+ feet ................................................................. ☐ 10 points
- 10-11 feet ................................................................. ☐ 8 points
- 8-9 feet ................................................................. ☐ 6 points
- 6-7 feet ................................................................. ☐ 4 point
- < 6 feet ................................................................. ☐ 2 points

Project Application Safety Features (select all that apply – max 15 pts.)
- Physical separation of facility where crossing a highway (over/underpass) .................................................. ☐ 10 points
- Physical separation of modes in heavily traveled areas (paint/bollards/landscaping/off-street path/etc.) ................................................................. ☐ 10 points
- Special on-street facilities (bike lane, bike box, etc.) .......................................................................................... ☐ 10 points
- Pedestrian Crossing Countdown Signals ............................................................................................................ ☐ 5 points
- Special paving or treatment (besides continental crosswalk) to indicate roadway crossings ................................... ☐ 5 points
- Protected pedestrian crossing phase (scramble or other) .................................................................................... ☐ 2 points

Facility is an extension of an existing bikeway, trail, or multi-use facility and/or the next phase of an existing programmed IRTIP project.
- Yes .......................................................................................... ☐ 10 points
- No ............................................................................................. ☐ 0 points

Facility connects multiple bike and/or pedestrian destinations (i.e. high density [10+ units/acre] residential, commercial, office districts, and/or mixed use districts.
- Yes .......................................................................................... ☐ 10 points
- No ............................................................................................. ☐ 0 points
Facility connects to a stop or station on a fixed transit route
Yes ............................................................................................................................................... ☐ 5 points
No ............................................................................................................................................. ☐ 0 points

This project is in the IMPO’s Regional Bikeways or Pedestrian Plan.
Yes ............................................................................................................................................... ☐ 5 points
No ............................................................................................................................................. ☐ 0 points

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:
Move: Create a connected network of bikeways and pedestrian routes by expanding existing facilities.
Prosper: Increase opportunities for residents to reduce transportation costs; Improve job access.
Make Safe: Support projects and policies that reduce the number and rate of serious injuries and fatalities for all modes.
Sustain: Improve access to grocery stores from bicycle, pedestrian, and transit options.

Preservation of Abandoned Railroad Corridor Project Type Application & Scoring Sheet

Acquired Property Type
- Public Land (already acquired) ................................................................. ☐ 25 points
- Public Land (property to be acquired by government agency) ............... ☐ 10 points
- Permanent Public Easement on Private Land ........................................... ☐ 5 points
- Lease on Private Land ............................................................................... ☐ 0 points

Project Application Length
- ≤ 5 miles........ ☐ 3 points
- ≤ 6 miles........ ☐ 6 points
- ≤ 8 miles....... ☐ 10 points
- ≤ 10 miles..... ☐ 13 points
- ≤ 12 miles..... ☐ 16 points
- ≤ 15 miles..... ☐ 19 points
- ≤ 20 miles..... ☐ 22 points
- > 20 miles...... ☐ 25 points

This project is an extension of an existing trail
Yes ............................................................................................................................................... ☐ 10 points
No ............................................................................................................................................. ☐ 0 points

This project is supported within a regional plan(s)
Yes ............................................................................................................................................... ☐ 5 points
No ............................................................................................................................................. ☐ 0 points
This project would contribute to the protection of threatened or endangered species habitat or preserve, or includes the preservation or restoration of native vegetation to provide a wildlife migration corridor. 
- Yes ................................................................. ☐ 5 points
- No ................................................................. ☐ 0 points

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:
- Move: Create a connected network of bikeways and pedestrian routes by expanding existing facilities.
- Prosper: N/A
- Make Safe: N/A
- Sustain: Protect the natural environment through careful consideration of transportation impacts on projects.

Historic Preservation and Rehabilitation of Historic Transportation Facilities

Project Type Application & Score Sheet

Date of National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) listing or eligibility determination by IDNR-DHPA is included in project description.
- Included ........................................................................... ☐ 15 points
- Not Included ........................................................................ ☐ 0 points

Does the proposed project comply with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Rehabilitation of Historic Properties?
- Yes ........................................................................... ☐ 15 points
- No ........................................................................... ☐ 0 points

Is the Structure/Object/Facility an endangered historic resource in a seriously deteriorated condition or threatened by demolition?
- Extremely Endangered .................................................. ☐ 10 points
- Endangered ................................................................... ☐ 5 points
- Potentially Endangered ................................................... ☐ 2 point
- Not endangered at all .................................................... ☐ 0 points

Is the Structure/Object/Facility an outstanding example of a rare historic transportation resource that is rapidly disappearing from the Indiana landscape?
- Extremely Rare ........................................................... ☐ 10 points
- Somewhat Rare ............................................................ ☐ 5 points
- Common ........................................................................ ☐ 0 points
Is the project an important part of a community/local historic preservation initiative that also meets with the IDNR-DHPA’s goals and priorities?

Strong community/grassroots project and meets DHPA’s goals and priorities........... ☐ 8 points
Some community initiative and meets DHPA’s goals and priorities........................... ☐ 4 points
Has no community support/does not meet DHPA’s goals and priorities .................... ☐ 0 points

Is the project supported as part of a current or future heritage/cultural tourism project?

A heritage/cultural tourism project is listed ☐ 4 points
No heritage/cultural tourism project is listed ☐ 0 points

Does this project maintain accessibility by the public/improve the ability of the public to appreciate the historic significance of the property and ensure operation of the resource in a manner that is consistent with its historic character?

Yes ............................................................. ☐ 8 points
No ............................................................. ☐ 0 points

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:
Move: N/A
Prosper: N/A
Make Safe: N/A
Sustain: N/A

Construction of Turnouts, Overlooks and Viewing Areas Project Type Application & Scoring Sheet

Is the project associated with a designated state or national byway? (Letter of support must accompany application)

Yes ............................................................. ☐ 15 points
No .................................................................................. ☐ 0 points

Does the project include (or based on) a professionally designed interpretive plan?

Yes, plan is complete or application is asking for completion of a plan ........ ☐ 15 points
Plan is currently in progress ................................................................. ☐ 10 points
No professional plan intended ............................................................. ☐ 0 points

Will the project provide a quality visitor experience? (select all that apply)

ADA accessible.................................................................................. ☐ 5 points
Safe ................................................................................................. ☐ 5 points
Well interpreted .................................................................................. ☐ 5 points
Authentic ........................................................................................................................................................................................................................................... ☐ 5 points
Project will be open or service will be available on a regular basis (operating hours are adequate) ☐ 5 points

A marketing plan has been developed for the project and is uploaded with the application.

Yes ........................................................................................................................................................................................................................................... ☐ 15 points
No ........................................................................................................................................................................................................................................... ☐ 0 points

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:
Move: N/A
Prosper: N/A
Make Safe: N/A
Sustain: N/A

Control and Removal of Outdoor Advertising Project Type Application & Scoring Sheet

Removal Plan
Boards are located on or in viewshed of national or state designated byway .......... ☐ 25 points
Boards are located adjacent to, or in viewshed of, a state park, preserve, forest, or Historic Site ☐ 15 points
Board removal is a part of the community’s economic development plan .......... ☐ 5 points

Timing
Boards will be removed within a year’s time .............................................................. ☐ 25 points
Boards will be phased out over time ........................................................................ ☐ 10 points

Enforcement
Provisions are made to keep boards out of described area in perpetuity through city or county zoning ordinance

Yes ☐ 20 points
No ☐ 0 points

2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:
Move: N/A
Prosper: N/A
Make Safe: N/A
Sustain: N/A
Environmental Mitigation to Address (1) Water Pollution Due to Highway Runoff or (2) Reduce Vehicle-Caused Wildlife Mortality While Maintaining Habitat Connectivity

Project Type Application & Scoring Sheet

**Acquisition**

- Land has been acquired for the project .................................................☐ 20 points
- Land acquisition will be required ..........................................................☐ 0 points

**Development**

- Assurance that natural site features, such as natural wetlands, will not be adversely impacted ☐ 20 points
- Assurance that functionality, i.e., pollutant removal capabilities or reduction in wildlife mortality, will be addressed in design..................................................................................................................☐ 15 points
- Assurance that design features will be incorporated that will maximize performance..........................☐ 10 points
- Assurance of availability of long-term maintenance capability..................................................................☐ 7 points

Has applicant formally contacted appropriate agencies to determine whether there would be any regulatory impediments to initiation of the proposed project?

- Yes ..................................................................................................................☐ 10 points
- No ...................................................................................................................☐ 0 points

Have formal contacts been made with appropriate local entities, such as the drainage board, to assure that there is no governmental opposition to the proposed project?

- Yes ..................................................................................................................☐ 5 points
- No ...................................................................................................................☐ 0 points

Are there individual persons or organizations that would oppose the project?

- Yes ..................................................................................................................☐ 0 points
- No ...................................................................................................................☐ 5 points

Are there relevant local non-governmental organizations that formally support the project?

- Yes ..................................................................................................................☐ 5 points
- No ...................................................................................................................☐ 0 points

Does the project utilize technology endorsed by relevant state and/or federal policies?

- Yes ..................................................................................................................☐ 5 points
- No ...................................................................................................................☐ 0 points

**2045 LRTP Performance Measures Addressed:**

- Move: N/A
- Prosper: N/A
- Make Safe: N/A
- Sustain: Protect the natural environment through careful consideration of transportation impacts.
If they selected SRTS Infrastructure or SRTS Non-Infrastructure, add the following questions:

### Section 1: School Walking and Bicycling Policies and Education

1. **This school district:**
   - Actively/officially encourages walking or biking to school ................................ □ 5 points
   - Generally supports walking or biking to school ................................................. □ 4 points
   - Neither supports nor discourages walking or biking ........................................... □ 1 points
   - Discourages walking or biking to school ......................................................... □ 0 points
   - Prohibits walking to school ............................................................................. □ 0 points
   - Prohibits biking to school ............................................................................. □ 0 points

2. **Walking & Bicycling Safety Training:**
   - Is provided by the school
     - no less than once per year ........................................................................... □ 5 points
     - regularly, but less than once per year ......................................................... □ 3 points
     - not at all ........................................................................................................... □ 0 points
   - Is offered to:
     - All students / all grade levels ................................................................... □ 5 points
     - at least half of students / grade levels ...................................................... □ 3 points
     - less than half of students / grade levels .................................................. □ 1 points
   - Is provided:
     - During school hours ................................................................................ □ 5 points
     - Outside of school hours .......................................................................... □ 3 points

### Section 2: Demonstration of Need

Are K-8 children exposed to any particular risks because of their current walking or biking routes to school? Please include photos to illustrate particular issues in your supplemental material upload.

- K-8 children are exposed to high safety risks because of their current walking or biking routes to school □ 3 points
- K-8 children are exposed to medium safety risks because of their current walking or biking routes to school □ 2 points
- K-8 children are exposed to low safety risks because of their current walking or biking routes to school □ 1 points
Is there any evidence of these safety concerns? (for example, injury reports, etc.) (7 points possible)
Yes, there are injury reports. 7 points
No, but there have been parent complaints. 4 points
There is no evidence of safety concerns. 0 points

Are there any particular health concerns for K-8 children at the school that prompted this SRTS application?
Yes ........................................................................................................................................... ☐ 3 points
No ........................................................................................................................................... ☐ 0 points

Is there any evidence of these health concerns?
Yes ........................................................................................................................................... ☐ 4 points
No ........................................................................................................................................... ☐ 0 points

What percentage of the total school enrollment of each participating school qualifies for free or reduced cost lunches?
75-100%.................................................................................................................................... ☐ 3 points
50-74%...................................................................................................................................... ☐ 1 points
0-49%......................................................................................................................................... ☐ 0 points

Have any of the safety or health concerns been identified by partners (i.e. a city council or town board, local planning agency, parents and teachers, department of public works, county health department, school nurses, police department, school PTA, local bicycling or trails group, etc.)
Yes ........................................................................................................................................... ☐ 5 points
No ........................................................................................................................................... ☐ 0 points

Has other funding been requested or secured from other local or state agencies or sources for related improvements, including education, planning, enforcement, encouragement, infrastructure engineering, walking or bicycling facility construction?
Yes ........................................................................................................................................... ☐ 5 points
No ........................................................................................................................................... ☐ 0 points

Section 3: Detailed Project Information

This project will (check all that apply):
  a. Create shorter or more direct walking or bicycling routes ........................................... ☐
  b. Increase connectivity of walking or biking routes to and from school by
     filling gaps or extending facilities ..................................................................................... ☐
  c. Separate children from motor vehicles along their routes to school ........... ☐
  d. Improve children’s ability to safely cross streets on the way to and from
     school ................................................................................................................................. ☐
e. Improve children’s ability to safely bicycle to and from school
f. Improve existing pedestrian walkways
g. Improve existing bicycle facilities or shared use paths
h. Improve the visibility of children for motorists by restricting obstacles, improving sight lines, etc
i. Reduce the number of motor vehicles carrying students to and from school
j. Reduce the number of school buses transporting children short distances to school
k. Promote and enforce safe driving by motorists with traffic calming treatments or warning devices
l. Provide secure bicycle parking for students at schools

How does this the proposed project specifically address the safety and health concerns described in Section 2 of this application?

This application addresses all noted concerns
This application addresses some noted concerns
This application does not address the noted concerns

How does the proposed project improve pedestrian/bicycle traffic safety at or near the project site to reduce the likelihood of injuries and fatalities among children?
This application proposes physical separation for pedestrian/bicycle traffic safety
This application proposes pedestrian crossing signals and special paving to indicate a crosswalk for pedestrian/bicycle traffic safety
This application proposes does not improve pedestrian/bicycle traffic safety near the project site

Does the proposed project address change to the physical health of the students at the school?
Yes
No

Will the participating school work with parents to reduce driving of their children to school?
Yes
No

Are the proposed improvements the best, most cost effective solutions to the problem?
Yes
No
Were other options, infrastructure or non-infrastructure, considered as solutions to the problem?

Yes ................................................................. ☐ 3 points
No ............................................................................. ☐ 0 points

Do the proposed solutions improve traffic safety for secondary (other than the children in grades K-8) users of the pedestrian or bicycling facility as well?

Yes ................................................................. ☐ 3 points
No ............................................................................. ☐ 0 points

Has this school/project site already completed a SRTS Plan or a School Travel Plan?

Yes ............................................................................. ☐ 5 points
No ............................................................................. ☐ 0 points

If yes, was the proposed project listed in the plan?

Yes ............................................................................. ☐ 5 points
No ............................................................................. ☐ 0 points

Does your community have a pedestrian plan or bicycle plan?

Yes ............................................................................. ☐ 5
No ............................................................................. ☐ 0

If yes, are the proposed improvements compatible with and supported by the bicycle or pedestrian plan?

Yes ............................................................................. ☐ 5 points
No ............................................................................. ☐ 0 points

Does your school have a School Wellness Policy?

Yes, and the improvements and activities proposed in this application support the adopted "physical activity" components of the wellness policy ............................................................................. ☐ 5 points
No ............................................................................. ☐ 0 points

Section 4: Cost Estimate

Local funding for the project or activity will be:

a. 31-50% of the total project cost ................................................................. ☐ 10 points
b. 21-30% of the total project cost ................................................................. ☐ 5 points
c. No more than 20% of the total project cost (the minimum) ............... ☐ 0 points
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted Performance Measures by the 2018 Scoring Criteria Update</th>
<th>STBG -Road Widening</th>
<th>STBG - New Roadway</th>
<th>STBG - 3R</th>
<th>STBG - Bridge Replace</th>
<th>STBG - Bridge Rehab</th>
<th>STBG - Intersection</th>
<th>STBG - Transit</th>
<th>HSIP</th>
<th>CMAQ</th>
<th>TAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Move</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve Regional Connectivity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connect Bikeways/Ped Routes</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Transit Initiatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Performance &amp; Congestion</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce Transportation Costs</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assure Equitable Investment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve Transit Access</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve Job Access</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Improve Regional Freight Network</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Reduce Fatalities for All Modes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Preserve and Enhance On and Off-System Bridges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Preserve and Enhance Transit Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Preserve and Enhance Pavement</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect Natural Environment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Support Air Quality Improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve Bike/Ped and Transit Access to Grocery Stores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>